



## Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact [support@jstor.org](mailto:support@jstor.org).

allowed to recover to his own use the money he paid (as a consequence of the deceit) \* \* \* and leave his creditors helpless and without remedy."

BANKS AND BANKING—WHO MAY QUESTION THE POWER OF NATIONAL BANKS TO TAKE REAL ESTATE IN TRUST.—Action to set aside a trust deed of real property to a national bank and another deed to other defendants, made by the bank in pursuance of the trust. *Held*, that, although under U. S. Rev. Stat. § 5137, U. S. Comp. Stat. 1901, p. 3460, a national bank has no power to acquire and hold title to real estate in trust, yet the United States alone can object to the lack of power of a national bank to accept a conveyance of realty to be so held. *Kerfoot v. Farmers' & Merchants' Bank, First Nat. Bank of Trenton, Missouri, et al.* (1910), 31 Sup. Ct. 14.

This case affirmed the opinion of the Supreme Court of Missouri, in *Hall v. F. & M. Bank et al.*, 145 Mo. 418, 46 S. W. 1,000. § 5137 of U. S. Rev. Stat. provides that a national banking association may purchase, hold, and convey real estate for certain specified purposes, "and for no other." Both the Missouri and the U. S. courts held that taking realty to hold in trust is not for one of those specified purposes. Yet it does not follow that the first deed was a nullity and that it failed to convey title to the property to the bank. It is a well settled principle that only the government can complain of an ultra vires holding of realty by a corporation, where the conveyance has been made and the transaction has been fully executed. 8 HARV. L. REV. 15; 8 MICH. L. REV. 407; *Knowles v. Northern Tex. Tract. Co.* (Tex. Civ. App.), 121 S. W. 232; *Pere Marquette Railroad Co. v. Graham*, 136 Mich. 444; *Leazure v. Hillegas*, 7 Serg. & R. (Pa.) 313; *Baird v. The Bank of Washington*, 11 id. 411. Several cases are cited as contra in 1 WILGUS, PRIV. CORP. 1019—note e; *State v. Hudson Land Co.*, 19 Wash. 85, 52 Pac. 574. The Federal Courts have applied this general principle frequently, but we know of no previous instance where the Supreme Court has had before it a case directly involving the holding of realty by a national bank for a purpose prohibited by § 5137. *Union Nat. Bank v. Matthews*, 98 U. S. 621, 25 L. ed. 188, is sometimes cited for a wider proposition than it laid down. It was expressly stated in that case that the holding referred to § 5136 and not to § 5137. § 5136 impliedly prohibits loans on real estate security, but the court followed the general rule that only the U. S. can complain of a violation of that section. The following cases, cited by Justice HUGHES in the principal case, follow the same rule, but do not decide a case under § 5137: *Nat. Bank v. Whitney*, 103 U. S. 99, 26 L. Ed. 443; *Swope v. Leffingwell*, 105 U. S. 3, 26 L. Ed. 939; *Fritts v. Palmer*, 132 U. S. 282, 33 L. Ed. 317, 10 Sup. Ct. 93; *Reynolds v. First Nat. Bank*, 112 U. S. 405, 28 L. ed. 733, 5 Sup. Ct. 213, involved § 5137, but, in that case, the land was held by the bank for a purpose permitted by that section, although a dictum was to the same effect as the holding in the principal case. For other cases, see 5 Fed. Stat. Ann. 93. For holdings of State courts, construing §§ 5136 and 5137, see *Wroten's Ass'nee v. Armat*, 31 Grat. 228; *Hennessey v. City of St. Paul*, 54 Minn. 219, 55 N. W. 1123; *Minn. Threshing Mach. Co. v. Jones*, 95 Minn. 127, 103 N. W. 1017.